

## **Area Board Decisions / Recommendations** **Relating to the A338/A346 Working Group's Report**

The report of the Working Group was considered by the following four Area Boards.

### **Tidworth Area Board**

The Tidworth Area Board considered the report on **18 January 2010** – where it agreed the following:

#### **Decision**

It was agreed that:

1. The Tidworth Area Board notes the report and thanks the Working Group for its hard work; and
2. The Tidworth Area Board supports the conclusion of the report and recommends to Cabinet that the Council apply to the Department for Transport for the de-priming of the A338/A346 corridor.

---

### **Pewsey Area Board**

The Pewsey Area Board considered the report on **28 January 2010**, where it agreed the following:

#### **Decision**

It was agreed that:

1. The report be noted and the Working Group be thanked for their hard work in undertaking the review.
  2. Pewsey Area Board acknowledges the problem of the high level of LGV traffic on the A338/A346 corridor and supports the principle of addressing the issue.
  3. Pewsey Area Board recommends to Cabinet that a full review of LGV traffic on the corridor be undertaken, to develop a range of solutions to protect the community from the impact of LGVs, which might include de-priming.
  4. Any such review should also consider the potential adverse impact of the solutions identified, particularly the risk of increased traffic on the A345.
-

## **Amesbury Area Board**

The Amesbury Area Board considered the report on two occasions. Firstly, on **11 February 2010**, it agreed the following:

### **Decision**

(a) Noting the report and presentation from the A338 / A346 Working Group, the Amesbury Area Board considers that further work is needed (including to St Thomas's Bridge in Salisbury) to make the report's conclusions and recommendations a more viable proposition.

(b) Officers should be asked to give support and advice to the Working Group in carrying out the further work required.

(c) Relevant Wiltshire Councillors should be invited to work with the officers and Working Group on the further work requested.

Following further discussions, the item was considered again on **25 March 2010**:

### **Decision**

1. The Amesbury Area Board supports the conclusions and recommendations of the A338 / A346 Working Group report with the following caveats:

- a. The report's conclusions relating to the de-priming between Marlborough and Shipton Bellinger be fully supported; and
- b. The A30 be proposed as a possible alternative route to the south of the A303, subject to any required works to the St Thomas' Bridge, which could partially be funded by Section 106 monies.

2. The Amesbury Area Board recommends to Cabinet that Wiltshire Council makes an application to the Department for Transport for the corridor road to be de-primed, subject to further work being carried out by officers and full consultation with all relevant stakeholders.

---

## **Marlborough Area Board**

The Marlborough Area Board considered the report on **13 April 2010**, where it agreed the following:

### **Decision**

It was agreed that:

1. The Marlborough Area Board notes the report and thanks the Working Group for its hard work; and
2. The Marlborough Area Board supports the conclusion of the report and recommends to Cabinet that the Council apply to the Department for Transport for the de-priming of the A338/A346 corridor.